

# POISSON STRUCTURES ON COTANGENT BUNDLES

by  
Gabriel Mitric

**ABSTRACT.** We make a study of Poisson structures of  $T^*M$  which are graded structures when restricted to the fiberwise polynomial algebra, and give examples. A class of more general graded bivector fields which induce a given Poisson structure  $w$  on the base manifold  $M$  is constructed. In particular, the *horizontal lifting* of a Poisson structure from  $M$  to  $T^*M$  via connections gives such bivector fields and we discuss the conditions for these lifts to be Poisson bivector fields and their compatibility with the canonical Poisson structure on  $T^*M$ . Finally, for a 2-form  $\omega$  on a Riemannian manifold, we study the conditions for some associated 2-forms of  $\omega$  on  $T^*M$  to define Poisson structures on cotangent bundles.

## 1. Graded Poisson structures on cotangent bundles

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold and  $\pi : T^*M \longrightarrow M$  its cotangent bundle. If  $(x^i)$ ,  $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ , are local coordinates on  $M$ , we denote by  $(p_i)$  the covector coordinates with respect to the cobasis  $(dx^i)$ . (We assume that everything is  $C^\infty$  in this paper).

In this section we discuss *graded* Poisson structures  $W$  on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  obtained as *lifts* of Poisson structures  $w$  on the base manifold

---

\*2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53D17.

*Key words and phrases*: Poisson structure. Cotangent bundle. Horizontal lift.

$M$ , in the sense that the canonical projection  $\pi$  is a Poisson mapping (see [6]).

Denote by  $S_k(TM)$  the space of  $k$ -contravariant symmetric tensor fields on  $M$  and by  $\odot$  the symmetric tensor product on the algebra  $S(TM) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} S_k(TM)$ . The spaces of fiberwise homogeneous  $k$ -polynomials

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{HP}_k(T^*M) := \{\tilde{Q} = Q^{i_1 \dots i_k} p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} /$$

$$Q = Q^{i_1 \dots i_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \odot \dots \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_k}} \in S_k(TM)\}$$

are interesting subspaces of the function space  $C^\infty(T^*M)$ , and will play an important role in this paper. ( $:=$  denotes a definition).

The map

$$(1.2) \quad \sim : (S(TM), \odot) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}(T^*M), \cdot) , \quad \sim Q := \tilde{Q} ,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}(T^*M) := \bigoplus_k \mathcal{HP}_k(T^*M)$  is the *polynomial algebra* and the dot denotes the usual multiplication, is an isomorphism of algebras.

On  $T^*M$  we also have the spaces of (fiberwise) non homogeneous polynomials of degree  $\leq k$

$$\mathcal{P}_k(T^*M) := \bigoplus_{h=0}^k \mathcal{HP}_h .$$

For  $k = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(T^*M) := \mathcal{P}_1(T^*M)$  is the space of *affine functions*, having the elements of the form

$$a(x, p) = f(x) + m(X) ,$$

where  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $X \in \chi(M)$  (the space of vector fields on  $M$ ) and  $m(X) := \sim X$  is the *momentum* of  $X$ . ( $m(X)$  is  $X$  regarded as a function on  $T^*M$ ).

The elements of the space  $\mathcal{P}_2(T^*M)$  of non-homogeneous quadratic polynomials are

$$t(x, p) = f(x) + m(X) + s(Q) ,$$

where  $Q = Q^{ij}(\partial/\partial x^i) \odot (\partial/\partial x^j)$  is a symmetric contravariant tensor field on  $M$  and  $s(Q) := \sim Q$ .

Hereafter, by a polynomial on  $T^*M$  we always mean a fiberwise polynomial. Also, we write  $f$  for both  $f$  on  $M$ , and  $f \circ \pi$  on  $T^*M$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. A Poisson structure  $W$  on  $T^*M$  is called *polynomially graded* if  $\forall Q, R \in \mathcal{P}(T^*M)$

$$(1.3) \quad Q \in \mathcal{P}_h, R \in \mathcal{P}_k \implies \{Q, R\}_W \in \mathcal{P}_{h+k}.$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. A polynomially graded Poisson structure  $W$  on  $T^*M$  induces a Poisson structure  $w$  on the base manifold  $M$ , such that the projection  $\pi : (T^*M, W) \longrightarrow (M, w)$  is a Poisson mapping.

*Proof.* Any function  $f$  on  $M$  is a polynomial  $(f \circ \pi) \in \mathcal{P}_0(T^*M)$ . By (1.3),  $\forall f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $\{f \circ \pi, g \circ \pi\}_W \in C^\infty(M)$  and

$$(1.4) \quad \{f, g\}_w := \{f \circ \pi, g \circ \pi\}_W,$$

defines a Poisson structure  $w$  on  $M$ .  $\square$

Hereafter, the bracket  $\{, \}_W$  will be denoted simply by  $\{, \}$ .

If the local coordinate expression of the Poisson structure  $w$  introduced by Proposition 1.2 is

$$(1.5) \quad w = \frac{1}{2} w^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j},$$

Definition 1.1 tells us that  $W$  must have the local coordinate expression

$$(1.6) \quad W = \frac{1}{2} w^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + (\varphi_j^i(x) + p_a A_j^{ia}(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \\ + \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{ij}(x) + p_a B_{ij}^a(x) + p_a p_b C_{ij}^{ab}(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j},$$

where  $w, \varphi, \eta, A, B, C$  are local functions on  $M$ .

The Poisson structure  $W$  is completely determined by the brackets  $\{f, g\}$ ,  $\{m(X), f\}$  and  $\{m(X), m(Y)\}$ , where  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ , since the local coordinates  $x^i$  and  $p_i$  are functions of this type ( $p_i = m(\partial/\partial x^i)$ ).

By (1.3), the bracket  $\{m(X), f\}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_1(T^*M)$ , i.e.,

$$(1.7) \quad \{m(X), f\} = Z_X f + m(\gamma_X f),$$

where  $Z_X f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $\gamma_X f \in \chi(M)$ .

$\{m(X), \cdot\}$  is a derivation of  $C^\infty(M)$ . Hence,  $Z_X$  is a vector field on  $M$ , and the mapping  $\gamma_X : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow \chi(M)$  also is a derivation. Therefore,  $\gamma_X f$  depends only on  $df$ .

From the Leibniz rule we get that  $Z_{hX} = hZ_X$  ( $h \in C^\infty(M)$ ) and  $\gamma$  must satisfy

$$(1.8) \quad \gamma_{hX}f = h\gamma_Xf + (X_h^w f)X .$$

The bracket of two affine functions has an expression of the form

$$(1.9) \quad \{m(X), m(Y)\} = \beta(X, Y) + m(V(X, Y)) + s(\Psi(X, Y)) ,$$

where  $\beta(X, Y) \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $V(X, Y) \in \chi(M)$  and  $\Psi(X, Y) \in S_2(TM)$  are skew-symmetric operators. If we replace  $Y$  by  $fY$  in (1.9), the Leibniz rule gives that  $\beta$  is a 2-form on  $M$  and

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} V(X, fY) &= fV(X, Y) + (Z_X f)Y, \\ \Psi(X, fY) &= f\Psi(X, Y) + (\gamma_X f) \odot Y. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 1.3. A polynomially graded Poisson structure  $W$  on  $T^*M$  is said to be a *graded structure* if  $\forall Q \in \mathcal{HP}_h, \forall R \in \mathcal{HP}_k, \{Q, R\}_W \in \mathcal{HP}_{h+k}$ .

Remark that a polynomially graded structure on  $T^*M$  is graded iff  $Z_X = 0$ ,  $\beta = 0$  and  $V = 0$ . In this case (1.6) reduces to

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} W &= \frac{1}{2}w^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + p_a A_j^{ia}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}p_a p_b C_{ij}^{ab}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} . \end{aligned}$$

As in [6], a bivector field  $W$  on  $T^*M$  which is locally of the form (1.6) (respectively (1.11)) is called a *polynomially graded* (respectively *graded*) *bivector field*.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *If  $W$  is a graded bivector field on  $T^*M$  which is  $\pi$ -related with a Poisson structure  $w$  on  $M$ , there exists a contravariant connection  $D$  on the Poisson manifold  $(M, w)$  such that*

$$(1.12) \quad \{m(X), f\} = -m(D_{df}X) , \quad X \in \chi(M), \quad f \in C^\infty(M) .$$

*Moreover, if  $W$  is a graded Poisson structure on  $T^*M$  then the connection  $D$  is flat.*

*Proof.* A contravariant connection on  $(M, w)$  is a contravariant derivative on  $TM$  with respect to the Poisson structure [10].

The required connection is defined by

$$(1.13) \quad D_{df}X := -\gamma_X f .$$

That we really get a connection, which is flat in the Poisson case, follows in exactly the same way as in [6].  $\square$

The relation (1.12) extends to

PROPOSITION 1.5. *If  $Q$  is a symmetric contravariant tensor field on  $M$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  is its corresponding polynomial then, for any graded Poisson bivector field  $W$  on  $T^*M$ , one has*

$$(1.14) \quad \{\tilde{Q}, f\}_W = -\widetilde{D_{df}Q} .$$

*Proof.*  $D_{df}$  of (1.14) is extended to  $S(TM)$  by

$$(D_{df}Q)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = X_f^w(Q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k Q(\alpha_1, \dots, D_{df}\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_k) ,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Omega^1(M)$ , and  $D_{df}\alpha$  is defined by

$$\langle D_{df}\alpha, X \rangle = X_f^w \langle \alpha, X \rangle - \langle \alpha, D_{df}X \rangle, \quad X \in \chi(M) .$$

We put

$$(1.15) \quad D_{dx^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = -\Gamma_j^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} ,$$

and by a straightforward computation we get for  $\{\tilde{Q}, f\}$  and  $-(\widetilde{D_{df}Q})$  the same local coordinate expression. (See [6] for the complete proof in the case of a symmetric covariant tensor field on  $M$ .)  $\square$

In order to discuss the next two Jacobi identities, let us make some remarks concerning the operator  $\Psi$  of (1.9), which is given in the case of a graded Poisson structure on  $T^*M$  by

$$(1.16) \quad \{m(X), m(Y)\} = s(\Psi(X, Y)) , \quad X, Y \in \chi(M) .$$

With (1.13), the second relation (1.10) becomes

$$(1.17) \quad \Psi(X, fY) = f\Psi(X, Y) - \frac{1}{2}(D_{df}X \otimes Y + Y \otimes D_{df}X)$$

and this allows us to derive the local coordinate expression of  $\Psi$ . If  $X = X^i(\partial/\partial x^i)$  and  $Y = Y^j(\partial/\partial x^j)$ , we obtain

$$(1.18) \quad \Psi(X, Y) = X^i Y^j \Psi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right) \\ + \left( X^h \frac{\partial Y^j}{\partial x^k} \Gamma_h^{ki} - Y^h \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^k} \Gamma_h^{kj} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + w^{kh} \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial Y^j}{\partial x^h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} .$$

Remark that  $\Psi : TM \times TM \longrightarrow \odot^2 TM$  is a bidifferential operator of the first order.

PROPOSITION 1.6. *If we define an operator  $D_{df}$  which acts on  $\Psi$  by*

$$(1.19) \quad (D_{df}\Psi)(X, Y) := D_{df}(\Psi(X, Y)) - \Psi(D_{df}X, Y) - \Psi(X, D_{df}Y) ,$$

*the Jacobi identity*

$$(1.20) \quad \{\{m(X), m(Y)\}, f\} + \{\{m(Y), f\}, m(X)\} + \{\{f, m(X)\}, m(Y)\} = 0$$

*has the equivalent form*

$$(1.21) \quad (D_{df}\Psi)(X, Y) = 0 , \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(M) .$$

*Proof.* Using (1.12), (1.14) and (1.16) for  $Q = \Psi(X, Y)$ , (1.20) becomes (1.21).  $\square$

We also find

$$(1.22) \quad (D_{df}\Psi)(X, hY) = h(D_{df}\Psi)(X, Y) - [C_D(df, dh)X] \odot Y$$

and hence, we see that (1.21) is invariant by  $X \mapsto fX$ ,  $Y \mapsto gY$  ( $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ ) iff the curvature  $C_D = 0$ .

Concerning the Jacobi identity

$$(1.23) \quad \sum_{(X, Y, Z)} \{\{m(X), m(Y)\}, m(Z)\} = 0 ,$$

(putting indices between parentheses denotes that summation is on cyclic permutations of these indices) remark that one must have an operator  $\Theta$  such that

$$(1.24) \quad \{s(G), m(X)\} = \Theta(\widetilde{G}, X) , \quad X \in \chi(M), \quad G \in S_2(M) ,$$

and  $\Theta(G, X)$  is a symmetric 3-contravariant tensor field on  $M$ .

We get the formula

$$(1.25) \quad \Theta(fG, hX) = fh\Theta(G, X) - f(D_{dh}G) \odot X + hG \odot D_{df}X + \{f, h\}_w G \odot X,$$

and then, the local coordinate expression

$$(1.26) \quad \Theta(G, X) = G^{ij}X^k \Theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{(i,j,k)} (G^{hj} \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^a} \Gamma_h^{ai} \\ + G^{ih} \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^a} \Gamma_h^{aj} - \frac{\partial G^{ij}}{\partial x^a} X^h \Gamma_h^{ak} + w^{ab} \frac{\partial G^{ij}}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^b}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

Using the operator  $\Theta$ , the Jacobi identity (1.23) becomes

$$(1.27) \quad \sum_{(X,Y,Z)} \Theta(\Psi(X, Y), Z) = 0,$$

and we may summarize our analysis concerning the graded Poisson structures on  $T^*M$  in

**PROPOSITION 1.7.** *A graded Poisson structure  $W$  on  $T^*M$  with the bracket  $\{, \}$  is defined by*

*a) a Poisson structure  $w$  on the base manifold  $M$  such that*

$$\{f, g\}_w = \{f, g\}_w, \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M).$$

*b) a flat contravariant connection  $D$  on  $(M, w)$  such that*

$$\{m(X), f\} = -m(D_{df}X), \quad X \in C^\infty(M).$$

*c) an operator  $\Psi : TM \times TM \longrightarrow \odot^2 TM$  such that*

$$\{m(X), m(Y)\} = s(\Psi(X, Y)), \quad X, Y \in \chi(M)$$

*and formula (1.21) holds.*

*d) an operator  $\Theta$  defined by (1.24), satisfying (1.27).*

To give examples, we consider the following situation, similar to [6].

Let  $(M, w)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Poisson manifold and suppose that its symplectic foliation  $S$  is contained in a regular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M$ , such that  $T\mathcal{F}$  is a *foliated bundle* i.e., there are local bases  $\{Y_u\}$  ( $u = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $p =$

$\text{rank } \mathcal{F}$ ) of  $T\mathcal{F}$  with transition functions constant along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Consider a decomposition

$$(1.28) \quad TM = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \nu\mathcal{F} ,$$

where  $\nu\mathcal{F}$  is a complementary subbundle of  $T\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$ -adapted local coordinates  $(x^a, y^u)$  ( $a = 1, \dots, n - p$ ) on  $M$  [9].

The Poisson bivector  $w$  has the form

$$(1.29) \quad w = \frac{1}{2} w^{uv}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^u} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^v} \quad (w^{vu} = -w^{uv}) ,$$

since  $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $\{\beta^u\}, \{\tilde{\beta}^v\}$  ( $u, v = 1, \dots, p$ ) are the dual cobases of  $\{Y_u\}, \{\tilde{Y}_v\}$  ( $\beta^u(Y_v) = \delta_v^u$ ) then their transition functions are constant along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Now,  $\forall \alpha \in T^*M$ ,  $\alpha = \zeta_a dx^a + \varepsilon_u \beta^u$  and we may consider  $(x^a, y^u, \zeta_a, \varepsilon_u)$  as *distinguished local coordinates* on  $T^*M$ . The transition function are

$$(1.30) \quad \tilde{x}^a = \tilde{x}^a(x), \quad \tilde{y}^u = \tilde{y}^u(x, y), \quad \tilde{\zeta}_a = \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial \tilde{x}^a} \zeta_a, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_u = a_u^v(x) \varepsilon_v .$$

**PROPOSITION 1.8.** *Under the previous hypotheses,  $W$  given with respect to the distinguished local coordinates by*

$$(1.31) \quad W = \frac{1}{2} w^{uv}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^u} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^v}$$

*defines a graded Poisson bivector on  $T^*M$ .*

*Proof.* From (1.30) it follows that  $W$  of (1.31) is a global tensor field on  $T^*M$ . The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket  $[W, W]$  has the same expression as  $[w, w]$  on  $M$  and thus, the Poisson condition  $[W, W] = 0$  holds.

To prove that  $W$  is graded, we also consider natural coordinates and show that the expression of  $W$  with respect to these coordinates becomes of the form (1.11) (see [6]).  $\square$

There are some interesting particular cases of Proposition 1.8:

a)  $w$  is a regular Poisson structure, and the bundle  $TS$  is a foliated bundle; in this case we may take  $\mathcal{F} = S$ .

b)  $S$  is contained in a regular foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  which admits adapted local coordinates  $(x^a, y^u)$  with local transition functions

$$\tilde{y}^v = p_u^v(x) y^u + q^v(x) .$$



( $\mathcal{F}$  is a leaf-wise, locally affine, regular foliation.) In this case  $(\partial/\partial y^u) = \sum_v a_u^v(x)(\partial/\partial \tilde{y}^v)$  and we may use the local vector fields  $Y_u = \partial/\partial y^u$ .

c) There exists a flat linear connection  $\nabla$  (possibly with torsion) on the Poisson manifold  $(M, w)$ . In this case we may consider as leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  the connected components of  $M$ , and the local  $\nabla$ -parallel vector fields have constant transition functions along these leaves. Therefore, we may take them as  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

In particular, we have the result of c) for a locally affine manifold  $M$  (where  $\nabla$  has no torsion), using as  $Y_i$  local  $\nabla$ -parallel vector fields, and also for a parallelizable manifold  $M$  (where we have global vector fields  $Y_i$ ).

As a consequence, Proposition 2.8 holds for the Lie-Poisson structure [10] of any dual  $\mathcal{G}^*$  of a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ , the graded Poisson structure being defined on  $T^*\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{G}^* \times \mathcal{G}$ .

## 2. Graded bivector fields on cotangent bundles

In this section we will discuss graded bivector fields on a cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ , which may be seen as lifts of a given Poisson structure  $w$  on  $M$ , that satisfy less restrictive existence conditions than in the case of graded Poisson structures.

Recall the following definition from [6]. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an arbitrary regular foliation, with  $p$ -dimensional leaves, on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $N$ . We denote by  $C_{fol}^\infty(N)$  the space of *foliated functions* (the functions on  $N$  which are constant along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ ). A *transversal Poisson structure* of  $(N, \mathcal{F})$  is a bivector field  $w$  on  $N$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \{f, g\} := w(df, dg) \text{ , } f, g \in C_{fol}^\infty(N)$$

is a Lie algebra bracket on  $C_{fol}^\infty(N)$ . A bivector field  $w$  on  $N$  defines a transversal Poisson structure of  $(N, \mathcal{F})$  iff [6]

$$(2.2) \quad (\mathcal{L}_Y w)|_{Ann \ T\mathcal{F}} = 0 \text{ , } [w, w]|_{Ann \ T\mathcal{F}} = 0 \text{ ,}$$

for all  $Y \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$  (the space of global cross sections of  $T\mathcal{F}$ ), where  $\text{Ann } T\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Omega^1(N)$  is the annihilator space of  $T\mathcal{F}$ . ( $\Omega^1(N)$  denotes the space of Pfaff forms on  $N$ .)

The cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  of any manifold  $M$  has the vertical foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  by fibers with the tangent distribution  $V := T\mathcal{F}$ .

Obviously, the set of foliated functions on  $T^*M$  may be identified with  $C^\infty(M)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Any polynomially graded bivector field  $W$  on  $T^*M$ , which is  $\pi$ -related with a Poisson structure of  $M$  is a transversal Poisson structure of  $(T^*M, V)$ .*

*Proof.* The local coordinate expression of  $W$  is of the form (1.6), and  $W$  is  $\pi$ -related with the bivector field  $w$  defined on  $M$  by the first term of (1.6). Then, (2.2) holds, because  $w$  is a Poisson bivector on  $M$ .  $\square$

**DEFINITION 2.2.** A transversal Poisson structure of the vertical foliation of  $T^*M$  will be called a *semi-Poisson structure* on  $T^*M$ .

**REMARK 2.3.** The structures  $W$  of Proposition 2.1 are polynomially graded semi-Poisson structures on  $T^*M$ .

In what follows, we will discuss some interesting classes of graded semi-Poisson structures of  $T^*M$ . Then, we give a method to construct all the graded semi-Poisson bivector fields on  $T^*M$  which induce the same Poisson structure  $w$  on the base manifold  $M$ .

Let  $D$  be a contravariant derivative on a Poisson manifold  $(M, w)$ . First,  $\forall Q \in S_k(TM)$ , define  ${}^sDQ \in S_{k+1}(TM)$  by

$$(2.3) \quad ({}^sDQ)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (D_{\alpha_i}Q)(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i \dots \alpha_{k+1}) ,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \Omega^1(M)$ , and the hat denotes the absence of the corresponding factor.

If  $X = X^i(\partial/\partial x^i) \in \chi(M)$  then  $DX$ , defined by  $(DX)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (D_{\alpha_1}X)\alpha_2$ , is a 2-contravariant tensor field on  $M$ , and

$$(2.4) \quad DX = D^i X^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} ,$$

where  $D^i X^j = (D_{dx^i}X)dx^j = D_{dx^i}X^j - X(D_{dx^i}dx^j)$ . According to (1.15) we must have

$$(2.5) \quad D_{dx^i}dx^j = \Gamma_k^{ij} dx^k ,$$

and obtain

$$(2.6) \quad D^i X^j = (dx^i)^\sharp X^j - \Gamma_k^{ij} dx^k = \{x^i, X^j\}_w - \Gamma_k^{ij} X^k .$$

Then

$${}^s D X = \frac{1}{2} (D^i X^j + D^j X^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$$

and we get

$$(2.7) \quad {}^s D X = \frac{1}{2} [\{x^i, X^j\}_w + \{x^j, X^i\}_w - \Gamma_k^{ij} X^k - \Gamma_k^{ji} X^k] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \odot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} .$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let  $(M, w)$  be a Poisson manifold and  $D$  a contravariant derivative of  $(M, w)$ . The bivector field  $W_1$  on  $T^*M$ , of bracket  $\{ , \}_{W_1}$  defined by the conditions*

$$(2.8) \quad \{f, g\}_{W_1} := \{f, g\}_w ,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \{m(X), f\}_{W_1} := -m(D_{df} X) ,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \{m(X), m(Y)\}_{W_1} = \frac{1}{2} s[{}^s D \langle X, Y \rangle - \langle {}^s D X, Y \rangle - \langle X, {}^s D Y \rangle] ,$$

where  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$  and  $\langle , \rangle$  is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket of symmetric tensor fields (defined by the natural Lie algebroid of  $M$ ) [1, 6] defines a graded semi-Poisson structure on  $T^*M$  which is  $\pi$ -related with  $w$ .

*Proof.* If the local coordinate expression of  $w$  is (1.5), using (2.7) and the properties of  $\langle , \rangle$  [1, 6] we get

$$(2.11) \quad W_1 = \frac{1}{2} w^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - p_a \Gamma_j^{ia} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - \frac{1}{4} p_a p_b \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (\Gamma_i^{ab} + \Gamma_i^{ba}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\Gamma_j^{ab} + \Gamma_j^{ba}) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} . \quad \square$$

REMARK 2.5. The relation (2.10) provides us the expression of the operator  $\Psi_{W_1}$  associated to  $W_1$  (see (1.16)):

$$(2.12) \quad \Psi_{W_1}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} ({}^s D \langle X, Y \rangle - \langle {}^s D X, Y \rangle - \langle X, {}^s D Y \rangle) .$$

Now, instead of  $D$  we consider a linear connection  $\nabla$  on a Poisson manifold  $(M, w)$  and define the vector field  $K$  on  $T^*M$  by

$$(2.13) \quad K(\alpha) = (\sharp_w \alpha)_\alpha^H, \quad \alpha \in T^*M,$$

where  $\sharp_w : T^*M \longrightarrow TM$  is defined by  $\beta(\alpha^\sharp) = w(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\forall \beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ , and the upper index  $H$  denotes the horizontal lift with respect to  $\nabla$  ([5, 11]). In local coordinates we get

$$(2.14) \quad K = p_a w^{ai} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \frac{1}{2} p_a p_b (w^{ak} \Gamma_{ki}^b + w^{bk} \Gamma_{ki}^a) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

On  $T^*M$  we have the canonical symplectic form  $\omega = d\lambda = dp_i \wedge dx^i$  where  $\lambda = p_i dx^i$  is the Liouville form, and the vector bundle isomorphism

$$\sharp_\omega : T^*M \longrightarrow TM, \quad i_X \omega \in T^*M \longmapsto X \in TM$$

leads to the canonical Poisson bivector  $W_0 := \sharp_\omega \omega$  on  $T^*M$ . It follows

$$(2.15) \quad W_0(dF, dG) = \omega(\sharp(dF), \sharp(dG)), \quad F, G \in C^\infty(T^*M),$$

and locally one has

$$(2.16) \quad W_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *If  $(M, w)$  is a Poisson manifold then the bivector field*

$$(2.17) \quad W_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_K W_0,$$

*defines a graded semi-Poisson structure on  $T^*M$  which is  $\pi$ -related with  $w$ .*

*Proof.* We get

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} W_2 = & \frac{1}{2} w^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \frac{1}{2} p_a (\nabla_j w^{ai} + 2w^{ik} \Gamma_{kj}^a) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \\ & + \frac{1}{4} p_a p_b \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} (w^{ak} \Gamma_{ki}^b + w^{bk} \Gamma_{ki}^a) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (w^{ak} \Gamma_{kj}^b + w^{bk} \Gamma_{kj}^a) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nabla_j w^{ai}$  are the components of the  $(2, 1)$ -tensor field on  $M$  defined by  $X \mapsto \nabla_X w$ ,  $X \in \chi(M)$ .  $\square$

We will say that  $W_2$  of (2.17) is the *graded  $\nabla$ -lift* of the Poisson structure  $w$  of  $M$ .

Using local coordinates and the notation of (1.2) we get

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{L}_K \tilde{Q} = {}^s \widetilde{DQ} ,$$

where  $D$  is the contravariant derivative induced by the linear connection  $\nabla$ , defined by  $D_{df} = \nabla_{(df)^\sharp}$  (see [10]).

From (2.17) we have

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \{F_1, F_2\}_{W_2} &:= W_2(dF_1, dF_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_K(\{F_1, F_2\}_{W_0}) - \{\mathcal{L}_K F_1, F_2\}_{W_0} - \{F_1, \mathcal{L}_K F_2\}_{W_0}) , \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_1, F_2 \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ .

If  $Q_1, Q_2 \in S(TM)$ , using (2.19) and the relation

$$(2.21) \quad \{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{H}\}_{W_0} := \langle \tilde{Q}, \tilde{H} \rangle , \quad Q, H \in S(TM)$$

(see [1, 6]) we get the explicit formula

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \{\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2\}_{W_2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sim [{}^s D \langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle {}^s D Q_1, Q_2 \rangle - \langle Q_1, {}^s D Q_2 \rangle] . \end{aligned}$$

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *The graded  $\nabla$ -lift  $W_2$  of  $w$  is characterized by:*

*i) the Poisson structure induced on  $M$  by  $W_2$  is  $w$ , i.e.*

$$(2.23) \quad \{f, g\}_{W_2} = \{f, g\}_w , \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M);$$

*ii) for every  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $X \in \chi(M)$*

$$(2.24) \quad \{m(X), f\}_{W_2} = -m(\bar{D}_{df} X) ,$$

where  $\bar{D}$  is the contravariant derivative of  $(M, w)$  defined by

$$(2.25) \quad \bar{D}_\alpha \beta = D_\alpha \beta + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot w)(\alpha, \beta) , \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M) ,$$

the contravariant derivative  $D$  is induced by  $\nabla$  and  $(\nabla \cdot w)(\alpha, \beta)$  is the 1-form  $X \mapsto (\nabla_X w)(\alpha, \beta)$ .

iii) for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $M$  we have

$$(2.26) \quad \{m(X), m(Y)\}_{W_2} = \frac{1}{2} s({}^s D \langle X, Y \rangle - \langle {}^s D X, Y \rangle - \langle X, {}^s D Y \rangle) .$$

*Proof.* i) If  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  then  $Df = -X_f^w$  and from (2.20), (2.21) and the formula

$$\langle Q, f \rangle = i(df)Q, \quad f \in C^\infty(M), \quad Q \in S_p(TM),$$

we get

$$\{f, g\}_{W_2} = -\frac{1}{2}(\langle Df, g \rangle + \langle f, Dg \rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(X_f^w g - X_g^w f) = \{f, g\}_w .$$

ii) As  $W_2$  is graded, the bracket  $\{m(X), f\}_{W_2}$  must be of the form (2.24). Denoting

$$\bar{D}_{dx^i} dx^j = \bar{\Gamma}_k^{ij} dx^k$$

(2.18) give us

$$(2.27) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_k^{ij} = \Gamma_k^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_k w^{ij},$$

where

$$(2.28) \quad \Gamma_k^{ij} = -w^{ih} \Gamma_{hk}^j,$$

( $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  are the coefficients of the linear connection  $\nabla$ ) and hence (2.25).

iii) (2.26) is a direct consequence of (2.22).  $\square$

Notice from (2.26) that the operator  $\Psi_{W_2}$  associated to  $W_2$  has the same expression as  $\Psi_{W_2}$  of (2.12), but in the case of  $W_1$  the contravariant derivative  $D$  is induced by a linear connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *If the graded semi-Poisson structure  $W_1$  is defined by a linear connection on  $(M, w)$  then it coincides with  $W_2$  iff  $w$  is  $\nabla$ -parallel.*

*Proof.* Compare the characteristic conditions of Propositions 2.4 and 2.7 (or the coefficients of  $(\partial/\partial x^i) \wedge (\partial/\partial p_j)$  of (2.11) and of (2.18), using (2.28)).

$\square$

We will prove now

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let  $(M, w)$  be a Poisson manifold and  $\pi : T^*M \longrightarrow M$  its cotangent bundle. The graded semi-Poisson structures  $W$  on  $T^*M$  which are  $\pi$ -related with  $w$  are defined by the relations*

$$\{f, g\}_W = \{f, g\}_w, \quad \{m(X), f\}_W = -m(D_{df}X) \text{ and}$$

$$\{m(X), m(Y)\}_W = s(\Psi(X, Y)), \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M), \quad X, Y \in \chi(M)$$

where  $D$  is an arbitrary contravariant connection of  $(M, w)$  and the operator  $\Psi$  is given by

$$(2.29) \quad \Psi = \Psi_0 + A + T,$$

where  $\Psi_0$  is the operator  $\Psi$  of a fixed graded semi-Poisson structure,  $A : TM \times TM \longrightarrow \odot^2 TM$  is a skew-symmetric, first order, bidifferential operator such that

$$(2.30) \quad A(X, fY) = fA(X, Y) - \tau(df, X) \odot Y,$$

where  $\tau$  is a  $(2, 1)$ -tensor field on  $M$ , and  $T$  is a  $(2, 2)$ -tensor field on  $M$  with the properties  $T(Y, X) = -T(X, Y)$  and  $T(X, Y) \in S_2(TM)$ ,  $\forall X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

*Proof.* If two graded semi-Poisson bivector fields,  $\pi$ -related with  $w$ , have associated the same contravariant connection  $D$ , it follows from (1.17) that the difference  $\Psi' - \Psi$  is a tensor field  $T$ , as in Proposition. To change  $D$  means to pass to a contravariant connection  $D' = D + \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a  $(2, 1)$ -tensor field on  $M$  and from (1.17) again, it follows that  $A = \Psi' - \Psi$  becomes a bidifferential operator with the property (2.29).  $\square$

### 3. Horizontal lifts of Poisson structures

In this section we define and study an interesting class of semi-Poisson structures on  $T^*M$  which are produced by a process of *horizontal lifting* of Poisson structures from  $M$  to  $T^*M$  via connections.

On  $T^*M$  we distinguish the vertical distribution  $V$ , tangent to the fibers of the projection  $\pi$  and, by complementing  $V$  by a distribution  $H$ , called *horizontal*, we define a *nonlinear connection* on  $T^*M$  [7, 8].

We have (*adapted*) bases of the form

$$(3.1) \quad V = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right\}, \quad H = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right\},$$

and  $N_{ij}$  are the *coefficients of the connection* defined by  $H$ .

Equivalently, a nonlinear connection may be seen as an almost product structure  $\Gamma$  on  $T^*M$  such that the eigendistribution corresponding to the eigenvalue  $-1$  is the vertical distribution  $V$  [7].

We assume that the nonlinear connection above is symmetric, i.e.,  $N_{ji} = N_{ij}$ . This condition is independent [7] on the local coordinates.

The complete integrability of  $H$ , in the sense of the Frobenius theorem, is equivalent to the vanishing of the curvature tensor field

$$(3.2) \quad R = R_{kij} dx^i \wedge dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}, \quad R_{kij} = \frac{\delta N_{kj}}{\delta x^i} - \frac{\delta N_{ki}}{\delta x^j}.$$

For a later utilization, we also notice the formulas [7, 8]

$$(3.3) \quad \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} \right] = -R_{kij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}, \quad \left[ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right] = -\Phi_{ik}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}, \quad \Phi_{ik}^j = -\frac{\partial N_{ik}}{\partial p_j}.$$

Let  $w$  be a bivector on  $M$ , with the local coordinate expression (1.5).

DEFINITION 3.1. The *horizontal lift* of  $w$  to the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  is the (global) bivector field  $w^H$  defined by

$$(3.4) \quad w^H = \frac{1}{2} w^{ij}(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \wedge \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $(M, w)$  be a Poisson manifold. If the connection  $\Gamma$  on  $T^*M$  is defined by a linear connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ , the bivector  $w^H$  defines a graded semi-Poisson structure on  $T^*M$ .*

*Proof.* In this case the coefficients of  $\Gamma$  are

$$(3.5) \quad N_{ij} = -p_k \Gamma_{ij}^k,$$

where  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are the coefficients of  $\nabla$  and, with respect to the bases  $\{\partial/\partial x^i, \partial/\partial p_j\}$ , the local expression of  $w^H$  becomes

$$(3.6) \quad W = \frac{1}{2} w^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + w^{ik} \Gamma_{kj}^a p_a \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}$$



$$+\frac{1}{2}w^{kh}\Gamma_{ki}^a\Gamma_{hj}^bp_ap_b\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}\cdot\Box$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *The horizontal lift  $w^H$  is a Poisson bivector on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  iff  $w$  is a Poisson bivector on the base manifold  $M$  and*

$$(3.7) \quad R(X_f^H, X_g^H) = 0, \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M),$$

where  $X_f^H$  denotes the usual horizontal lift [5, 11], from  $M$  to  $T^*M$ , of the  $w$ -Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$  on  $M$ .

In this case, the projection  $\pi : (T^*M, w^H) \longrightarrow (M, w)$  is a Poisson mapping.

*Proof.* We compute the bracket  $[w^H, w^H]$  with respect to the bases (3.1) and get that the Poisson condition  $[w^H, w^H] = 0$  is equivalent with the pair of conditions

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{(i,j,k)} w^{hk} \frac{\partial w^{ij}}{\partial x^h} = 0, \quad w^{il} w^{jh} R_{klh} = 0.$$

(Putting indices between parentheses denotes that summation is on cyclic permutations of these indices.)

The first condition (3.8) is equivalent to  $[w, w] = 0$  and the second is the local coordinate expression of (3.7).  $\Box$

Notice that the condition (3.7) has the equivalent form

$$(3.9) \quad R((\sharp\alpha)^H, (\sharp\beta)^H) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M).$$

REMARK 3.4. If  $w$  is defined by a symplectic form on  $M$ , condition (3.8) becomes  $R = 0$ .

COROLLARY 3.5. *If  $(M, w)$  is a Poisson manifold and the connection  $\Gamma$  on  $T^*M$  is defined by a linear connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$ , the bivector  $w^H$  defines a Poisson structure on  $T^*M$  iff the curvature  $C_D$  of the contravariant connection induced by  $\nabla$  on  $TM$  vanishes.*

*Proof.* If  $R_{kij}^h$  are the components of the curvature  $R_\nabla$  then

$$(3.10) \quad R_{kij} = -p_h R_{kij}^h$$

and (3.9) becomes

$$(3.11) \quad R_\nabla(\sharp\alpha, \sharp\beta)Z = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M), \quad \forall Z \in \chi(M),$$

(or, equivalently

$$(3.11') \quad R_{\nabla}(X_f, X_g)Z = 0, \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M), \quad \forall Z \in \chi(M) .)$$

This is equivalent to  $C_D = 0$ .  $\square$

In the case where  $w^H$  is a Poisson bivector, it is interesting to study its compatibility with the canonical Poisson structure  $W_0$  of (2.15).

PROPOSITION 3.6. *If  $w^H$  is a Poisson bivector, then it is compatible with  $W_0$  iff*

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial w^{ij}}{\partial x^k} + w^{ih}\Phi_{hk}^j - w^{jh}\Phi_{hk}^i = 0, \quad w^{ih}R_{hjk} = 0 .$$

*Proof.* By a straightforward computation we get that the compatibility condition  $[w^H, W] = 0$  is equivalent to (3.12).  $\square$

The Bianchi identity [7]

$$(3.13) \quad R_{kij} + R_{ijk} + R_{jki} = 0 ,$$

shows that the second relation (3.12) implies (3.7). Then

COROLLARY 3.7. *If  $(M, w)$  is a Poisson manifold and the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  is endowed with a symmetric nonlinear connection, then  $w^H$  is a Poisson bivector on  $T^*M$  compatible with  $W_0$  iff conditions (3.12) hold.*

REMARK 3.8. Considering the isomorphism

$$\Psi : V_u \longrightarrow H_u^*, \quad \Psi(X_k \partial / \partial p_k) = X_k dq^k ,$$

where  $u \in T^*M$  and  $H_u^*$  is the dual space of  $H_u$ , the second condition (3.12) may be written in the equivalent form

$$(3.14) \quad [\Psi(R(X, Y))](\sharp_w \alpha)^H = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(T^*M), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Omega^1(M) .$$

We recall that a symmetric linear connection  $\nabla$  on a Poisson manifold  $(M, w)$  is called a *Poisson connection* if  $\nabla w = 0$ . Such connections exists iff  $w$  is regular, i.e.  $\text{rank } w = \text{const}$  (see [10]).

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let  $(M, w)$  be a regular Poisson manifold with a Poisson connection  $\nabla$ . Then, the bivector  $w^H$ , defined with respect to  $\nabla$ , is a Poisson structure on  $T^*M$  compatible with the canonical Poisson structure  $W_0$  iff the 2-form*

$$(3.15) \quad (X, Y) \longrightarrow R_{\nabla}(X, Y)(\sharp_w \alpha), \quad X, Y \in \chi(M)$$

vanishes for every Pfaff form  $\alpha$  on  $M$ .

*Proof.* With (3.5), the first condition (3.12) becomes  $\nabla w = 0$ , which we took as a hypotheses. The second condition (3.12) becomes

$$w^{ih} R_{hjk}^l = 0 ,$$

and we get the required conditions.  $\square$

REMARK 3.10. If  $w$  is defined by a symplectic structure of  $M$  then (3.15) means  $R_\nabla = 0$ .

## 4. Poisson structures derived from differential forms

If  $\omega$  is a 2-form on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  we associate with it a 2-form  $\Theta(\omega)$  on the cotangent bundle  $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$ , and considering (pseudo-) Riemannian metrics on  $T^*M$  related to  $g$ , we study the conditions for  $\Theta(\omega)$  to produce a Poisson structure on this bundle.

Let  $(M, g)$  be a  $n$ -dimensional manifold and  $\nabla$  its Levi-Civita connection. If  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are the local coefficients of  $\nabla$ , a connection  $\Gamma$  with the coefficients (3.5) is obtained on  $T^*M$ .

The system of local 1-forms  $(dx^i, \delta p_i)$ ,  $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ , where

$$(4.1) \quad \delta p_i := dp_i + N_{ij} dx^j$$

defines the dual bases of the bases  $\{\delta/\delta x^i, \partial/\partial p_i\}$ .

The components of the curvature form are given by (3.2). Since the connection is symmetric, the Bianchi identity (3.13) holds. The elements  $\Phi_{ij}^k$  of (3.3) are

$$(4.2) \quad \Phi_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k .$$

The Riemannian metric  $g$  provides the "musical" isomorphism  $\sharp_g : T^*M \rightarrow TM$  and the codifferential

$$(4.3) \quad \delta_g : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M) , \quad (\delta_g \alpha)_{i_1 \dots i_{k-1}} = -g^{st} \nabla_t \alpha_{si_1 \dots i_{k-1}} ,$$

where  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{k!} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k} \in \Omega^k(M)$$

and  $(g^{st})$  are the entries of the inverse of the matrix  $(g_{ij})$  [10].

Let

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{ij}(x) dx^i \wedge dx^j, \quad \omega_{ji} = -\omega_{ij},$$

be a 2-form on  $M$ .

DEFINITION 4.1. The 2-form  $\Theta(\omega)$  on  $T^*M$  given by

$$(4.4) \quad \Theta(\omega) = \pi^* \omega - d\lambda,$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Liouville form, is said to be the *associated 2-form* of  $\omega$ .

With respect to the cobases  $(dx^i, \delta p_i)$  we get

$$(4.5) \quad \Theta(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{ij}(x) dx^i \wedge dx^j + dx^i \wedge \delta p_i.$$

Now, we consider two (pseudo-) Riemannian metrics  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  on  $T^*M$  and study the conditions for the bivectors  $W_i = \sharp_{G_i} \Theta(\omega)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2)$  to define Poisson structures on  $T^*M$ . The Poisson condition  $[W_i, W_i] = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  is equivalent to [10]

$$(4.6) \quad \delta_{G_i}(\Theta(\omega) \wedge \Theta(\omega)) = 2\Theta(\omega) \wedge \delta_{G_i} \Theta(\omega), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

First, consider [7, 8] the pseudo-Riemannian metric  $G_1$  of signature  $(n, n)$

$$(4.7) \quad G_1 = 2\delta p_i \odot dx^i.$$

To find the condition which ensure that (4.6) holds, we need the local expression of the codifferential  $\delta_{G_1}$  of  $G_1$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $G_1$ , and for simplicity we put

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_i = \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}^i = \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}}.$$

$\tilde{\nabla}$  is defined by [7]

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} &= 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} = -\Gamma_{ik}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}, \\ \tilde{\nabla}^i \frac{\delta}{\delta q^j} &= 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_i \frac{\delta}{\delta q^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\delta}{\delta q^k} - p_h R_{ijk}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The bivector  $\sharp_{G_1}\Theta(\omega)$  defines a Poisson structure on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  iff  $\omega$  is a closed 2-form on  $M$  and  $\Gamma_{ai}^a = 0$ ,  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ . In this case  $\Theta(\omega)$  is a symplectic form.*

*Proof.* The proof is by a long computation in local coordinates. After computing the exterior product  $\Theta(\omega) \wedge \Theta(\omega)$  we get

$$(4.10) \quad \delta_{G_1}(\Theta(\omega) \wedge \Theta(\omega)) = \frac{2}{3!} \sum_{(i,j,k)} \nabla_i \omega_{jk} dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k .$$

Then, we compute  $\delta_{G_1}\Theta(\omega)$  and obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta(\omega) \wedge \delta_{G_1}\Theta(\omega) &= \frac{2}{3!} \sum_{(i,j,k)} \omega_{ij} \Gamma_{ak}^a dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k + \\ &+ (\delta_j^k \Gamma_{ai}^a - \delta_i^k \Gamma_{aj}^a) dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge \delta p_k . \end{aligned}$$

(4.6) implies

$$(4.12) \quad \delta_j^k \Gamma_{ai}^a - \delta_i^k \Gamma_{aj}^a = 0 , \quad \forall i, j, k = 1, \dots, n .$$

Making the contraction  $k = j$  it follows that  $\Gamma_{ai}^a = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\Gamma_{ai}^a = 0$  then (4.12) holds. Also, since  $\nabla$  is symmetric, we get

$$\sum_{(i,j,k)} \frac{\partial \omega_{jk}}{\partial x^i} = \sum_{(i,j,k)} \nabla_i \omega_{jk} .$$

Therefore, the condition  $\sum_{(i,j,k)} \nabla_i \omega_{jk} = 0$  is equivalent to  $d\omega = 0$ .  $\square$

Let us consider now the Riemannian metric of Sasaki type

$$(4.13) \quad G_2 = g_{ij} dx^i \odot dx^j + g^{ij} \delta p_i \odot \delta p_j$$

(see [2] for the Sasaki metric).

LEMMA 4.3. *The local coordinate expression of the Levi-Civita connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  of  $G_2$  is*

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} &= 0 , \quad \bar{\nabla}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} = -\frac{1}{2} R^{jk}_i \frac{\delta}{\delta q^k} - \Gamma_{ik}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} , \\ \bar{\nabla}^i \frac{\delta}{\delta q^j} &= \frac{1}{2} R^i_{j \quad k} \frac{\delta}{\delta q^k} , \quad \bar{\nabla}_i \frac{\delta}{\delta q^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\delta}{\delta q^k} - \frac{1}{2} R_{kij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} , \end{aligned}$$

where we used again the notations of (4.8) and  $R^{jk}_i$  (also  $R^i_j{}^k$ ) are obtained from  $R_{kij}$  by the operation of lifting the indices, i.e.

$$R^{jk}_i = g^{ja}g^{kb}R_{abi} , \quad R^i_j{}^k = g^{ia}g^{kb}R_{ajb} .$$

*Proof.* The result is proved by a straightforward computation.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.4. *The bivector  $\delta_{G_2}\Theta(\omega)$  defines a Poisson structure on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  iff*

$$(4.15) \quad \nabla\omega = 0 , \quad g^{ab}R^k_{abi} = 0 , \quad \omega^{ab}R^k_{iab} = 0 ,$$

where  $\omega^{ab} = g^{ai}g^{bj}\omega_{ij}$  are the components of the bivector  $w = \sharp_g\omega$  on  $M$ .

*Proof:* By a new long computation again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\delta_{G_2}(\Theta(\omega) \wedge \Theta(\omega)) &= \frac{1}{3!}g^{ab}\nabla_a\left(\sum_{(i,j,k)}\omega_{ij}\omega_{kb}\right)dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k - \\ &- (g^{ab}\sum_{(i,j,k)}(\nabla_a\omega_{ij}\delta_b^k)dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge \delta p_k + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}(R^{kab}\delta_i^j - R^{jab}\delta_i^k)dx^i \wedge \delta p_j \wedge \delta p_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\omega) \wedge \delta_{G_2}\Theta(\omega) &= \frac{1}{3!}\sum_{(i,j,k)}(\delta_{G_2}\Theta(\omega))_k dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!}[\delta_i^k(\delta_{G_2}\Theta(\omega))_j - \delta_j^k(\delta_{G_2}\Theta(\omega))_i]dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge \delta p_k , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_{G_2}\Theta(\omega) = (\delta_{G_2}\Theta(\omega))_k dx^k = g^{ab}(\nabla_a\omega_{kb} - \frac{1}{2}R_{abk})dx^k .$$

Identifying the coefficients, the Poisson conditions (4.6) for  $W_2$  becomes:

$$(4.16) \quad g^{ab}\sum_{(i,j,k)}\omega_{ij}R^h_{abk} = 0 , \quad g^{ab}\sum_{(i,j,k)}(\nabla_a\omega_{ij})\omega_{kb} = 0 ,$$

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla\omega = 0 , \quad g^{ab}R^k_{abi} = 0 ,$$

and

$$(4.18) \quad \omega^{ab}R^k_{iab} = 0 .$$

Let us remark that the conditions (4.17) implies (4.16), because, if  $\nabla\omega = 0$  then  $\nabla_a\omega_{ij} = 0$ , and  $g^{ab}R_{abi}^k = 0$  implies  $g^{ab}\omega_{ij}R_{abk}^h = 0$ .  $\square$

REMARK 4.5. If the bivector  $\sharp_{G_2}\Theta(\omega)$  defines a Poisson structure on  $T^*M$  then  $w = \sharp_g\omega$  defines a Poisson structure on  $M$ , as the second condition (4.16) is equivalent to the Poisson condition [10]

$$\sum_{(i,j,k)} w^{ia}\nabla_a w^{jk} = 0 .$$

(The local coordinate expression of  $w$  is (1.5).)

COROLLARY 4.6. *If  $\sharp_{G_2}\Theta(\omega)$  is a Poisson bivector on  $T^*M$ , then the scalar curvature  $r$  of  $(M, g)$  vanishes.*

*Proof:* The expression of  $r$  is  $r = g^{ab}R_{ab}$ , where  $R_{ba} = R_{akb}^k = R_{ab}$  are the components of the Ricci tensor, and if we make the contraction  $k = i$  in the second relation (4.15) we get  $g^{ab}R_{akb}^k = 0$ , and whence  $r = 0$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** During the work on this paper, the author held a postdoctoral grant at the University of Haifa, Israel. He would like to thank the University of Haifa, its Department of Mathematics, and, personally, Prof. Izu Vaisman for suggestions and hospitality during the period when the postdoctoral program was completed.

## References

- [1] B. H. Bhaskara and K. Viswanath, *Poisson algebras and Poisson manifolds*. Pitman Research Notes in Math. 174, Longman Sci., Harlow and N.York, 1988.
- [2] P. Dombrowski, *On the geometry of the tangent bundle*. J. Reine Angew. Mathematik, **210** (1962), 73-88.
- [3] M. Gotay and G. Tuynman,  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  is a Universal Symplectic Manifold for Reduction. Letters Math. Physics **18**(1989), 55-59.
- [4] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and F. Magri, *Poisson-Nijenhuis structures*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théor., **53**(1)(1990), 35-81.
- [5] M. de Leon and P.R. Rodrigues, *Methods of Differential Geometry in Analytical Mechanics*. North-Holland, 1989, 482 pp.

- [6] G. Mitric and I. Vaisman, *Poisson structures on tangent bundles*. arXiv math.DG/0108130.
- [7] V. Oproiu and N. Papaghiuc, *A pseudo-Riemannian structure on the cotangent bundle*. An. Șt. Univ. "Al.I.Cuza" Iași, Matem., **36**(1990), 265-276.
- [8] V. Oproiu, *Some aspects from the geometry of the cotangent bundle*. An. Univ. Timisoara, XXXIV, fasc.1(1996), Seria mat.-Inf., 117-134.
- [9] I. Vaisman, *Cohomology and Differential Forms*. M. Dekker Inc., New York, 1973.
- [10] I. Vaisman, *Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds*. Math. Series, 118, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [11] K. Yano and S. Ishihara, *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles*. M. Dekker Inc., New York, 1973.

Gabriel Mitric  
 Catedra de Geometrie  
 Universitatea "Al. I. Cuza"  
 Iași 6600  
 România  
 gmitric@uaic.ro